

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) 🖂 COMPLAIN	NT/DISCOVERY (CI)			
RE-INSPECTION (FUI) ARMS COM	MPLAINT NO:			
AIRS ID#: 0250841 DATE: <u>10/08/2009</u> ARRIVE: <u>12</u>	2:30PM DEPART: <u>01:00PM</u>			
FACILITY NAME: FLORIDA BLOCK PLANT #1				
FACILITY LOCATION: 8725 NW 91 Street				
MEDLEY 33178-1523				
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: AMIR HAGHAYEGH PHONE:				
CONTACT NAME:	PHONE:			
ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 6/23/2008 / 6/22/2013 (effective date) (end date)				
(effective date) (efficially				
PART I: <u>INSPECTION</u> <u>COMPLIANCE</u> <u>STATUS</u> (check ✓ only one	e box)			
☐ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐	SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE			
PART II: TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule (check dispropriate box(es))	62-296.414, F.A.C.			
(check ☑ appropriate box(es))	62-296.414, F.A.C.			
	ing to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter			
(check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Stack Emissions 1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according 62-297, F.A.C.)?	ing to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter □Yes № No osed storage and conveying equipment			
(check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Stack Emissions 1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according 62-297, F.A.C.)?	ing to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter			
 (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Stack Emissions Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according 62-297, F.A.C.)?	ing to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter			
 (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Stack Emissions 1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according 62-297, F.A.C.)?	ing to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter			
 (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Stack Emissions 1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit accords 62-297, F.A.C.)?	ing to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter			
 (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Stack Emissions 1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit accords 62-297, F.A.C.)?	ing to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter			
 (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Stack Emissions 1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit accords 62-297, F.A.C.)?	ing to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter			

PART II: <u>TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. – (continued) (check ☑ appropriate box(es)	
Compliance Demonstration - (Rule 62-296.401(5)(i), F.A.C.) 1. Is each dust collector exhaust point tested according to the visible emissions limiting standard as part of t annual compliance demonstration? (Rule 62-297.310(7)(a), F.A.C.)	
New Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits) 2. Did this facility demonstrate: a) initial compliance no later than 30 days after beginning operation? b) annual compliance within 60 days prior to each anniversary of the air general permit notification form submittal date?	☐Yes ☐ No
Existing Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits) 3. In order to demonstrate annual compliance, was an annual visible emissions test conducted 60days prior the AGP Notification form submission, and within 60 days prior to each anniversary date?	
Test Reports – (Rules 62-213.440, F.A.C. and 62-297.310(8)(b), F.A.C.) 4. Was the required test report filed with the department as soon as practical, but no later than 45 days after test was completed?	
PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)2., F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))	
	le 🗌
 (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☑; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ☑ only one box.</i>) If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.)	ing ☐Yes ⊠ No ☐Yes ⊠ No
 (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☑; 2) a relocatable ☐; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ☑ only one box.</i>) If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.)	ing □Yes ⊠ No

PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.414(2)(a) and (b), F.A.C. (continued)				
(check ☑ appropriate box(es))				
Unconfined Emissions – (Rule 62-296.320(4)(c), F.A.C.) 1. Does the owner /operator of the concrete batching plant tak emissions by: a) management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and y 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and y 2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-semissions?	ards, which shall include one or more of the following: ock piles, and yards? uppressant chemicals when necessary to control	No No No		
b) use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate	emissions at the drop point to the truck? \square Yes \square	NO		
PART IV: SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES -	Rule 62-210.300(4)(d)4., F.A.C.			
A. New or Modified Process Equipment				
Since the last inspection has there been				
a) installation of any new process equipment?		No No		
b) alterations to existing process equipment without replacement?				
c) replacement of existing equipment substantially different than that noted on the most				
recent notification form? d) If you answered <u>YES</u> to any of the above, did the owner submit a new and complete				
notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4.050, FAC) to the appropriate DEP or				
local program office?[No		
. 0				
	40/00/0000			
MARUFUL MALIK	10/08/2009			
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection			
	10/2010			
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection			
COMMENTS: On October 08, 2009 I visited this facility to cond	uct the annual compliance inspection. On site I met mr Br	ock		

COMMENTS: On October 08, 2009 I visited this facility to conduct the annual compliance inspection. On site I met mr.Brock Ewing, the assistance manager of the facility. According to Mr.Ewing, this facility has not been operational for three weeks. However, this facility produces 11000 blocks per day when in operation.